

Azithromycin for severe COVID-19

Published Online September 4, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(20)31863-8 See Articles page 959 Hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin was identified, outside of randomised controlled trials, as an early candidate for treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection. A number of trials evaluating hydroxychloroquine as pre-exposure prophylaxis, as early treatment, and in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 were subsequently initiated. To date, randomised trials have found no evidence of a benefit of hydroxychloroquine compared with placebo at any disease stage for COVID-19, and a number of trials were discontinued early because of difficulties with enrolment and emerging evidence that hydroxychloroquine was not effective.¹⁻³

Although the preponderance of evidence indicates that there is no benefit of hydroxychloroquine in the treatment of COVID-19, fewer studies have evaluated azithromycin, a broad-spectrum antibiotic that has anti-inflammatory properties. Azithromycin is commonly used for bacterial respiratory infections, and could potentially treat or prevent co-infection with SARS-CoV-2. Azithromycin might also have antiviral activity against some RNA viruses. Azithromycin has been shown to be effective in vitro against viruses such as Zika and rhinovirus, in addition to SARS-CoV-2, and to have antiviral effects in bronchial epithelial cells. Azithromycin has also been shown to be immunomodulatory, and can reduce exacerbations in chronic airway diseases. Azithromycin is widely available and has an excellent safety profile;

Variable of the first of the fi

thus, if shown to be effective, could be easily scaled up as a first-line treatment for patients with COVID-19.

In The Lancet, Remo Furtado and colleagues9 report the primary results of COALITION II, an open-label randomised trial evaluating azithromycin in addition to standard of care, which included hydroxychloroquine, compared with standard of care alone in patients admitted to hospital with severe COVID-19, including patients receiving oxygen supplementation at more than 4 L/min, or use of high-flow nasal cannula or non-invasive or invasive mechanical ventilation. The trial enrolled 447 adult participants (aged >18 years) at multiple hospitals in Brazil, approximately a third of whom were women. The primary outcome was clinical status at 15 days, assessed using a six-level ordinal scale ranging from not hospitalised to death. Participants were followed up for 29 days in total to assess 29-day mortality. Furtado and colleagues found no benefit of azithromycin on clinical outcomes, including clinical status or mortality, when added to the standard of care regimen (odds ratio 1.36 [95% CI 0.94-1.97]; p=0.11), and no evidence of an increase in adverse events with the addition of azithromycin. There was no evidence of a difference in outcomes by sex, although a prespecified subgroup analysis suggested potentially worse clinical status at 15 days in younger patients receiving azithromycin. A major strength of COALITION II was that it was randomised, which eliminated the confounding by indication inherent in observational analyses. Despite the open-label design, the authors attempted to minimise bias in outcome ascertainment by using a masked outcome adjudicator.

Although masking outcome assessors is an important step towards minimising bias, open-label designs are more prone to bias than fully masked placebo-controlled trials. With the use of a placebo, treating physicians, patients, and others involved in patient care are unaware of the patient's treatment assignment. Differences in patient care between groups could influence outcomes, even with the use of masked outcome assessors. The azithromycin intervention in COALITION II was administered in the context of hydroxychloroquine, which was the standard of care in Brazil at the time the study was done. Given the results of trials assessing hydroxychloroquine in COVID-19, it

is unlikely that hydroxychloroquine has any effect on disease progression, but its use might bias estimates towards the null compared with treatment with azithromycin alone.

The results of COALITION II corroborate those of COALITION I,10 which was done by the same study group and evaluated hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin in patients admitted to hospital with mild or moderate COVID-19. In COALITION I, there was no significant difference in outcomes in patients receiving hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin, and no evidence of an increase in adverse events. The results of these trials suggest that azithromycin might not provide benefit to patients once the disease has progressed and patients require hospitalisation. Because azithromycin is currently the most commonly prescribed outpatient therapy for COVID-19, establishing whether azithromycin is helpful earlier in the disease course is an important research priority. If azithromycin does not have a role in the treatment of COVID-19, avoiding its use would reduce unnecessary antibiotic consumption.

The results of COALITION II are an important contribution to the randomised trials evaluating therapeutics for COVID-19. For patients with COVID-19, the addition of azithromycin to existing standard of care regimens does not appear to improve outcomes. Additional placebo-controlled trials in hospitalised patients, and earlier in the disease course, would strengthen the

evidence and provide a comprehensive understanding of the role of azithromycin in COVID-19.

We declare no competing interests.

*Catherine E Oldenburg, Thuy Doan catherine.oldenburg@ucsf.edu

Francis I Proctor Foundation (CEO, TD), Department of Ophthalmology (CEO, TD), and Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics (CEO), University of California, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

- 1 Tang W, Cao Z, Han M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in patients with mainly mild to moderate coronavirus disease 2019: open label, randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2020; 369: m1849.
- Skipper CP, Pastick KA, Engen NW, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in nonhospitalized adults with early COVID-19. Ann Intern Med 2020; published online July 16. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-4207.
- Cheng MP, Labar D, Lother SA, et al. A randomized trial of hydroxychloroquine as postexposure prophylaxis for COVID-19. N Engl J Med 2020; 383: 517–25.
- 4 Retallack H, Di E, Arias C, et al. Zika virus cell tropism in the developing human brain and inhibition by azithromycin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2016; 113: 14408–13.
- 5 Schögler A, Kopf BS, Edwards MR, et al. Novel antiviral properties of azithromycin in cystic fibrosis airway epithelial cells. Eur Respir J 2015; 45: 428–39.
- 6 Gielen V, Johnston SL, Edwards MR. Azithromycin induces anti-viral responses in bronchial epithelial cells. Eur Respir J 2010: 36: 646–54.
- 7 Rizk JG, Kalantar K, Mehra MR, Lavie CJ, Rizk Y, Forthal DN. Pharmacoimmunomodulatory therapy in COVID-19. Drugs 2020; published online July 21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-020-01367-z.
- 8 Gibson PG, Yang IA, Upham JW, et al. Effect of azithromycin on asthma exacerbations and quality of life in adults with persistent uncontrolled asthma (AMAZES): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2017; 390: 659-68.
- 9 Furtado RHM, Berwanger O, Fonseca HA, et al. Azithromycin in addition to standard of care versus standard of care alone in the treatment of patients admitted to the hospital with severe COVID-19 in Brazil (COALITION II): a randomised clinical trial. Lancet 2020; published online Sept 4. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31862-6.
- 10 Cavalcanti A, Zampieri F, Rosa R, et al. Hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin in mild-to-moderate COVID-19. N Engl J Med 2020; published online July 23. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2019014.

Circulating ACE2: a novel biomarker of cardiovascular risk

Dysregulation of the renin-angiotensin system plays a major role in the progression of cardiovascular disease in humans. The enzymatic reactions within the renin-angiotensin system generate angiotensin II, which promotes vasoconstriction and inflammation and deleterious cardiovascular effects.¹ Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) acts to counterbalance the renin-angiotensin system by degrading angiotensin II.²³ In 2005, ACE2 was identified as the cellular receptor for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV),⁴ and we now know that ACE2 also facilitates viral entry of SARS-CoV-2, leading to widespread systemic illness in COVID-19.⁵ Notably, ACE2 is present on endothelial cells and can undergo so-called shedding into the circulation. In patients with

cardiovascular disease, increased circulating ACE2 activity predicts adverse cardiovascular outcomes in patients with heart failure, coronary artery disease, and aortic stenosis. However, in the general population, the role of circulating ACE2 as a biomarker of risk is not well established.

In *The Lancet*, Sukrit Narula and colleagues⁹ present one of the largest epidemiological datasets on plasma ACE2 concentration in the general population. They did a case-cohort study involving 10753 participants from the multinational Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology study, including 5084 patients randomly selected as the subcohort and 5669 with an incident event of interest. In the subcohort, 2935 (57·7%) were men and 2149 (42·3%) were women; the mean age





See Articles page 968